

**From:** [James McKenna](#)  
**To:** [Kristine Koch/R10/USEPA/US@EPA](#)  
**Cc:** [Chip Humphrey/R10/USEPA/US@EPA](#); [jworonets@anchorenv.com](#); [rjw@nwnatural.com](#)  
**Subject:** Re: Alternatives for FS development - Directed Commentst  
**Date:** 08/12/2011 07:19 AM

---

Thank you Kristine, this greatly simplifies our day today!!! Jim.

----- Original Message -----

From: Koch.Kristine@epamail.epa.gov [mailto:Koch.Kristine@epamail.epa.gov]  
Sent: Friday, August 12, 2011 09:16 AM  
To: James McKenna  
Cc: Humphrey.Chip@epamail.epa.gov <Humphrey.Chip@epamail.epa.gov>; Jennifer Woronets <jworonets@anchorenv.com>; Wyatt, Robert <rjw@nwnatural.com>  
Subject: RE: Alternatives for FS development - Directed Comments

Jim and Bob - I apologize for the oversight. While only comments 8 and 11 have been superseded by the directed comments sent yesterday, EPA is extending the dispute deadline for all comments that had a dispute deadline date of August 12 to August 25. However, we hope that since we have had many conversations over the last several weeks regarding these issues, the LWG will not take until August 25 to determine whether or not they wish to dispute these comments.

Regards,

Kristine Koch  
Remedial Project Manager  
USEPA, Office of Environmental Cleanup

U. S. Environmental Protection Agency  
Region 10  
1200 Sixth Avenue, Suite 900, M/S ECL-115  
Seattle, Washington 98101-3140

(206)553-6705  
(206)553-0124 (fax)  
1-800-424-4372 extension 6705 (M-F, 8-4 Pacific Time, only)

From: James McKenna <jim.mckenna@verdantllc.com>  
To: Kristine Koch/R10/USEPA/US@EPA, "Wyatt, Robert " <rjw@nwnatural.com>  
Cc: Jennifer Woronets <jworonets@anchorenv.com>, Chip Humphrey/R10/USEPA/US@EPA  
Date: 08/11/2011 06:54 PM  
Subject: RE: Alternatives for FS development - Directed Comments

Thanks Kristine. I'd like to get a bit of clarification on your letter: The LWG interprets comments #8, 9, 10, 11, and 12 from your July 15th letter as directed comments with a August 12th deadline for invoking dispute. In addition, EPA previously agreed to extend the June 29th deadline for comment #16 to August 12th. Are we to interpret from your letter today that only comments 8 and 11 have been superseded by the directed comments in today's letter (which I calculate now have a dispute deadline of August 25th), and that the dispute deadline for comments 9, 10, 12, and 16 is still tomorrow (August 12th)? Or, have all remaining unresolved directed comments been extended to August 25?

Thanks, Jim.

-----Original Message-----

From: Koch.Kristine@epamail.epa.gov [mailto:Koch.Kristine@epamail.epa.gov]  
Sent: Thursday, August 11, 2011 4:01 PM  
To: Wyatt, Robert  
Cc: James McKenna; Jennifer Woronets; Humphrey.Chip@epamail.epa.gov  
Subject: Alternatives for FS development - Directed Comments

Bob and Jim,

Here are EPA's directed comments on the FS Check-in regarding development of alternatives.

(See attached file: Letter re Alternatives for FS development 8-11-2011.pdf)  
(See attached file: Alternatives 8-11-2011.pdf) (See attached file: Basis for FS Key Elements Check-in Revised RAL & Alternatives Comments 8-11-2011.pdf) (See attached file: SWAC values for EPA Alternatives 8-11-2011.pdf)

Regards,

Kristine Koch  
Remedial Project Manager  
USEPA, Office of Environmental Cleanup

U. S. Environmental Protection Agency  
Region 10  
1200 Sixth Avenue, Suite 900, M/S ECL-115 Seattle, Washington  
98101-3140

(206)553-6705  
(206)553-0124 (fax)  
1-800-424-4372 extension 6705 (M-F, 8-4 Pacific Time, only)